

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAY 18 2000

ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR FOR ENFORCEMENT AND COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE

Mr. Clark Houghton Missouri Petroleum Marketers and Convenience Store Operators 205 E. Capitol Avenue Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Mr. Houghton:

As you know, there have been reformulated gasoline (RFG) supply problems in the St. Louis metropolitan area due to a leak in the Explorer Pipeline that occurred on March 10, 2000. A substantial portion of the RFG used in St. Louis is transported by the Explorer Pipeline. Because of this disruption, and resulting low inventories of RFG in the St. Louis area, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued a waiver on March 17, 2000 to allow distribution of conventional gasoline in St. Louis. That waiver was in effect from March 17 through April 5. An additional waiver allowed the distribution of conventional gasoline from May 5 through May 8.

Unfortunately, as of May 18, 2000, the supplies of RFG at St. Louis gasoline terminals once again are very limited or are exhausted. We have been informed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) that supplies of RFG are insufficient to cover demand in the St. Louis area, that this situation will continue until the next RFG shipment arrives in St. Louis on the Explorer Pipeline, scheduled to begin on May 20, and that RFG shortages could continue in St. Louis after May 20.

EPA is particularly concerned that supplies of RFG could be insufficient to supply the St. Louis market during the period when ozone exceedances are most likely, beginning around mid-June. For this reason we believe it is important that regulated parties build the volume of RFG supplies at terminals serving St. Louis before mid-June, to maximize the likelihood that RFG supplies will be sufficient later in the ozone season.

Therefore, the relief provided today <u>encourages distribution of conventional gasoline</u> through June 5 so that terminals are able to build RFG inventories. In contrast, and based on consultations with DOE and representatives from the State of Missouri, if regulatory relief is necessary to address an RFG supply shortage after June 5 EPA intends to condition that relief on the payment of penalties that are sufficiently large to create a <u>significant disincentive to distribute conventional gasoline</u> instead of RFG. These incentives and disincentives are described more fully below.

Section 211(k) of the Clean Air Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder (40 CFR Part 80 Subpart D) prohibit the sale of conventional gasoline in an RFG covered area. However, in light of the current supply situation in St. Louis I will exercise enforcement discretion and will allow the distribution of conventional gasoline to retail outlets and wholesale purchaser-consumer facilities in the St. Louis covered area during the period beginning at 5 p.m. CST on May 18, 2000, and ending at midnight on June 5, 2000. This relief is subject to the condition that beginning June 19, 2000, the gasoline at retail outlets and wholesale purchaser-consumer facilities in the St. Louis covered area must meet all applicable RFG standards including the VOC emissions control standard.

One important goal of this regulatory relief is to encourage building of RFG inventories at terminals serving St. Louis. As a result, there will be no penalties assessed for the distribution of conventional gasoline during this regulatory relief period.

However, if an RFG supply emergency occurs in St. Louis subsequent to June 5, 2000, and if EPA allows the distribution of conventional gasoline, EPA intends to follow the following policy. A Compliance Agreement will have to be signed by any party <u>before</u> distributing conventional gasoline in which the party must agree to pay to the U.S. Treasury penalties that will be specified at the time the regulatory relief is granted. The size of these penalties will be sufficiently large to at least reflect the benefit gained by substituting conventional gasoline for RFG. A draft copy of such a Compliance Agreement is enclosed with this letter.

Recapture of economic benefit would be important in this situation so that parties have adequate incentives to make the operational and business decisions necessary to produce, transport and distribute quantities of RFG sufficient to supply the St. Louis market. Moreover, recapture of economic benefit prevents parties from gaining competitive advantage by distributing conventional gasoline - to maintain a "level playing field."

If you have questions, please call Mr. George Lawrence of my staff at (202) 564-1307.

Silicordi

Steven A. Herman

cc: Steve Mahfood,

Missouri Department of Natural Resources

John Buchanan

Missouri Department of Natural Resources

enclosure

DRAFT

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY COMPLIANCE AGREEMENT

Agreement Between:

Air Enforcement Division

The U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and	
	(Respondent)
(company name)	
	nter into the following Agreement regarding compliance by Respondent with the section 211(k) and the regulations promulgated thereunder.
gasoline (RFG). RFG regulations appearnonattainment areas (covered areas), inc. 2. On [date], the U.S. Departs	es EPA to promulgate regulations regarding the manufacture and use of reformulated r at 40 CFR Part 80, subparts D, E and F, and require the use of RFG in certain ozone luding the St. Louis covered area (St. Louis). ment of Energy (DOE) made a finding that supplies of RFG in St. Louis are insufficient
conventional gasoline in St. Louis from met. The terms and conditions of that le	on [date], EPA issued a letter granting regulatory relief allowing the distribution of [date] through [date] (the regulatory relief period), provided that certain conditions are tter are incorporated into this Agreement.
 Respondent distributes gase Respondent represents that possible volume of RFG in St. Louis. 	it has made, and will continue to make, all reasonable efforts to distribute the maximum
6. Respondent agrees that it w7. Respondent agrees to abide	rill distribute conventional gasoline to St. Louis only during the regulatory relief period. by all conditions in this agreement and the letter granting enforcement discretion
	ctive, and Respondent shall not distribute conventional gasoline to St. Louis, until has signed this agreement and faxed copies of the signed agreement to George Lawrence, Pickell, Fax number (303) 969-6490.
volume of conventional gasoline distri	buted to St. Louis and calculated to be sufficiently large to create a significant
incentive to distribute RFG instead of conventional gasoline]. 10. Respondent agrees it will submit to EPA, within 21calendar days of the last day of this regulatory relief period: a. The following information for each tanker truck delivery of conventional gasoline to St. Louis during the regulatory relief period: the date, the volume and grade of gasoline delivered, and the identity of the terminal where the gasoline was obtained and the facility where the gasoline was delivered; and b. A sworn, signed affidavit stating whether it supplied any conventional gasoline to St. Louis before or after the	
regulatory relief period. 11. The information specified in Paragraph 10 shall be mailed to:	
Richard Ackerman U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2242A) 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20460	
12. Respondent agrees to mai distribution of conventional gasoline in	ntain, and make available to EPA all information relating to its purchase, sale and St. Louis for 2 years subsequent to the end date of the regulatory relief period. it violates the terms of the regulatory relief letter or this Agreement it is subject to
14. This Agreement does not	preclude any action by EPA to address past or future violations of the CAA or are unrelated to the terms of this Agreement.
By(
(Signature and Title)	Date
By the U.S. Environmental Protection A	gency:
Bruce C. Buckheit, Director	Date